PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To: see form PCT/ISA/220				PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
	Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
	rnational application (T/EP2004/05019)		International filing date (d 24.02.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.03.2003					
	rnational Patent Clas 4Q7/38	sification (IPC) or	both national classification a	and IPC					
	olicant OTOROLA INC:		•						
4	This eninion of	antoino indicati	and relating to the follow	owing itoms:					
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:								
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion						
	Box No. II								
	☐ Box No. III								
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	•						
	⊠ Box No. V		ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement					
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	•						
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app	pplication					
	☐ Box No. VIII		ations on the international application						
2.	FURTHER ACT								
-	If a demand for i written opinion o the applicant cho	nternational prel f the Internation poses an Author reau under Rule	al Preliminary Examining ty other than this one to	Authority ("IPEA"). Hose the IPEA and the	usually be considered to be a lowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the tional Searching Authority				
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.						
3.	For further detail	s, see notes to f	Form PCT/ISA/220.						
٠.									
					•				
				·					
Nan	ne and mailing addres	ss of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	nas Palan.				



European Patent-Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Goedhart, A

Telephone No. +49 89-2399-7135



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

10/5/11/23/2No. PCT/EP2004/050197

		JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 29	JIIN 2009					
_	Box N							
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	la	☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ssary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type	pe of material:	• •					
		a sequence listing						
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	·					
	b. format of material:							
		in written format						
		in computer readable form						
	c. time	ne of filing/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.	ha co	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or to as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subseque copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application appropriate, were furnished.	ent or additional					
4.	Additio	¥.						

	Box No. II	Priority		0						
1.	☑ The fo	ollowing document	has not been	furnished	i : .					
	☒	copy of the earlie	r application	whose pr	iority has be	een claii	ned (Ru	ıle 43 <i>bis</i> .1	and 66.7(a)). : _,
	. 🗆	translation of the	earlier applic	ation who	se priority	has bee	n claime	ed (Rule 43	bis.1 and 6	6.7 (b)).
		equently it has not t theless been estab								
2.	has be	pinion has been es een found invalid (f date indicated abov	Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1	and 64.1). Thus for	the purp				
3.	Additional	observations, if nec	cessary:							. 0
	Box No. V industrial	Reasoned stat							ventive ste	p or
1.	Statement								• .	
	Novelty (N))		Claims Claims	1-18 	٠				· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Inventive s	step (IS)	Yes: -C No: C	Claims Claims	 1-18			•		
	Industrial a	applicability (IA)	Yes: C No: C	Claims Claims	1-18 		•			
2.	Citations a	and explanations								
	see separ	* *					*			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/050197

Basis of the report:

Description, pages:

1-28

as originally filed

Claims, No.:

1-18

as originally filed

Drawings, sheets:

1/9-9/9

as originally filed

A. Citations and explanations made in respect of paragraph V:

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1 = US-A-5982757

D2 = EP-A-0 687 116

2. The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT, because the subject-matter of **claim 1** does not involve an inventive step.

Document **D1** discloses, in accordance with some of the features of **claim 1**, a wireless communication system (see D1: column 2, lines 42 to 45; column 3, lines 3 to 27; figure 1) which provides a number of communication resources (see D1: column 3, line 67 to column 4, line 4) for a plurality of mobile stations (see D1: figure 1: "113", "114"), wherein the wireless communication system comprises a number of communication paths (see D1: figure 1: "119") for routing a communication initiated by one of said plurality of mobile stations to a destination node (see D1: column 2, lines 61 to 65; figure 1: "111").

Document **D1** furthermore discloses that in case of <u>control traffic</u> overload, the mobile stations will not attempt to register simultaneously (see D1: column 1, lines 59 to 61).

The fact that a call gapping process is performed in the mobile station is not

explicitly disclosed in document D1.

The technical problem can thus be formulated as: "what to do in case of <u>call traffic</u> overload".

The skilled person, wishing to find a solution for the above-mentioned problem, would consult the prior art in the field of call handling during overload conditions and come accross the document **D2**, which discloses the principle of "call gapping" (see D2: page 4, lines 1 to 16). The skilled person would apply this principle to the system of document **D1**, i.e. to perform a call gapping process in the mobile station, and thus arrive, without the use of inventive skill, at the wireless communication system according to the subject-matter of **claim 1**.

Claim 1 does therefore not involve an inventive step, see Article 33(3) PCT.

3. The comments made in paragraph 2 regarding **claim 1** also apply to independent **claims 8, 13 and 18**, as said claims essentially correspond with **claim 1** in terms of claims relating to "a communication unit", "a method of congestion relief" and "a storage medium", respectively.

Regarding independent **claim 8**, it is noted that document **D1** discloses a communication unit adapted to facilitate the process (see D1: column 3, lines 30 to 33; figure 1: "111", "113").

Regarding independent **claim 18**, it is noted that document **D1** discloses that the method may be implemented in software and stored in or on any computer-readable media (see D1: column 4, lines 48 to 53).

Independent claims 8, 13 and 18 do therefore not involve an inventive step, see Article 33(3) PCT.

4. The additional features of dependent claims 2 to 7, 9 to 12 and 14 to 17 do not add anything of inventive significance, see Article 33(3) PCT, to the claims to which they are appended, as the additional features introduced by said-dependent claims are disclosed in, or directly derivable from, document D1 (for claims 5 and

16: see column 4, lines 16 to 22; for claims 7 and 12: see D1: column 3, lines 3 to 27; for claims 9 and 11: see D1: column 3, lines 30 to 33; figure 1: "111", "113") or document D2 (for claims 2, 4, 6, 15 and 17: see D2: page 4, lines 23 to 39; for claims 3 and 14: see D2: page 2, lines 1 to 11; for claim 10: see D2: page 4, lines 9 to 11), or refer only to minor implementing details falling within the general knowledge of a person skilled in the art of call handling during overload conditions.

As a consequence, said dependent claims do not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

B. Further remarks made in respect of the present application:

- 1. In order to meet the requirements of Rule 5.1 (a) (ii) PCT, the documents **D1** and **D2**, which represent a relevant state of the art with regard to the present application, should be identified in the opening part of the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.
- 2. The opening part of the description should be brought into **conformity** with the wording of any new or amended independent claim(s), see Rule 5.1 (a)(iii) PCT.
- 3. In order to meet the requirements of Rule 6.3(b) PCT, any independent claim should be correctly cast in the **two-part form**, with those features which in combination are part of the nearest prior art (i.e. document **D1**) being placed in the preamble.
- 4. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that the application may **not** be amended in such a way that it contains subject-matter which extends **beyond** the content of the application as filed, see Article 43 (2)(b) PCT.
 - In his letter of reply, the applicant should indicate the parts of the originally filed application serving as a basis for subject-matter newly introduced into the claims.
- 5. The applicant is requested to file amendmends by way of **replacement pages** in

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/050197

accordance with Rule 66.8 PCT.